

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/716,646	VILLEFRANCE ET AL.
	Examiner MARCUS R. SMITH	Art Unit 2419

All Participants:

(1) MARCUS R. SMITH.

Status of Application: 71/RCE after final rejection

(2) GREGORY B SEFCHECK.

(3) DAVID WARREN.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 December 2008

Time: 10:00 AM TO 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Demi/ (US 6,996,126)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner request to speak with attorney in order to have a better understanding of the applicant claimed invention. After listening and speaking with the attorney, it was conclude that the office and applicant have a different view on the applicant's claimed invention. The examiner disagree with the applicant's attorney about how Deml fails to teaches claim 1.